

Message

---

**From:** Bujak, Charissa [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B7145378C12F43DF9E2BF70E7D951196-BUJAK, CHAR]  
**Sent:** 6/2/2017 2:04:56 PM  
**To:** Thiesing, Mary [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b7b594716a844c65bd55c43a6b033f58-Thiesing, Mary Ann]  
**Subject:** RE: US 95 Thorncreek Rd to Moscow -- IDFG; rare plant; changes to aquatic resource impacts assessment since FEIS  
**Attachments:** US 95 Thorncreek road to Moscow\_IDT\_Briefing\_cb2.doc

Hi,

Sorry, this version was more updated. Thanks!

Cheers,  
Charissa

---

**From:** Thiesing, Mary  
**Sent:** Friday, June 02, 2017 6:51 AM  
**To:** Somers, Elaine <somers.elaine@epa.gov>; Bujak, Charissa <bujak.charissa@epa.gov>; Peak, Tracy <Peak.Tracy@epa.gov>; Storm, Linda <Storm.Linda@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: US 95 Thorncreek Rd to Moscow -- IDFG; rare plant; changes to aquatic resource impacts assessment since FEIS

Elaine, this is very helpful, and I suspect David will want to know this when we brief him next week.

---

**From:** Somers, Elaine  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 01, 2017 9:51 PM  
**To:** Bujak, Charissa <bujak.charissa@epa.gov>; Peak, Tracy <Peak.Tracy@epa.gov>; Thiesing, Mary <Thiesing.Mary@epa.gov>; Storm, Linda <Storm.Linda@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** US 95 Thorncreek Rd to Moscow -- IDFG; rare plant; changes to aquatic resource impacts assessment since FEIS

Hello, everyone,  
A bit more information that may be of interest...

**IDFG**

I did have a conversation with Ray Hennekey of IDFG yesterday. It's a good thing, **Personal Privacy / Ex. 6** he'll be gone from the office from June 10 onward).

Ray re-stated the essence of IDFG's views on the project: They support the Central (C-3) alignment. C-3 has the least wetland impacts, least wildlife impacts, and it is supported by all the resource agencies.

Since we last spoke, he has been working with ITD on pipe crossings of the E-2 alignment. He conveyed that ITD consults with IDFG because they have to, but that they do what they want to do.

He said the only wildlife mitigation is to oversize some of the pipes (culverts) for small wildlife passage. For example, some 24" pipes may be increased to 36" or 48", or a 48" pipe increased to 60". The problem, however, is that the pipes are very long, and it is unlikely that wildlife will enter if it is dark, i.e., if they cannot see the end of the tunnel. A 24" pipe typically used for a 50-year event is increased to 36" or 48", so that when a stream is bank full, small wildlife could pass on the banks at either side, but sometimes the pipes will be full and will not be usable by wildlife.

For contacts at USFWS, Ray recommended Juliet Barrente, Spokane Office 509-893-8005 or direct line 509-921-0160. Or, speak with Juanita Lichthardt, Botanist at U of Idaho, Moscow, re: plant questions: 208-882-4803.

### Rare plant

FYI -- On the call I mentioned that in recent years spanned by this NEPA process, ITD had bulldozed or buried (not intentionally as far as known) a rare plant population. It was Spalding's catchfly, *Silene spaldingii*, an ESA Threatened species. It may have occurred when they were building the other portions of US95 that were expanded, but which were covered by the original EA -- not included in this EIS. The PN states that no specimens of *S. spaldingii* have been located near the proposed project area since 2006.

### Aquatic resources impacts – data changes since the FEIS

From the FEIS (p. 172) Table 46. Tributary Effects:

- Alternative C-3: 5 tributary crossings; 7,808 linear feet of channel effects; 58 acres total impervious surface
- Alternative E-2: 5 tributary crossings; **2,592 linear feet** of channel effects; 72 acres total impervious surface
- FEIS (p. 173): "Most of the wetlands that are affected drain into either the South Fork of the Palouse River or Thorn Creek, both of which are on the 303(d) list and are waters of the US."
- Mitigation (p. 173): "Once all practicable measures for avoidance and minimization are in place, remaining impacts will be mitigated through compensatory mitigation, which will be met through use of the Cow Creek Mitigation site, which has already been constructed."
- P. 174, Table 47, Wetland Effects: Alt C-3: 0.99 acre (all PEM); Alt E-2: 3.61 acres (2.69 PEM; 0.92 PSS)
- P. 176: "The E-2 Alt would affect more wetlands that are functioning higher for habitat. The C-3 Alt would have the least effect to wetlands in terms of acreage, function and value."

From the Corps Public Notice:

- Alternative E-2: Piping of **4,290 linear feet** of unnamed tributaries/drainages.
- Aquatic resource description: Both S. Fork Palouse River and Thorn Creek originate on Paradise Ridge. ES Comment/note: The E-2 impacts would affect the headwater areas (upstream of where Alts C-3 and W-4 are located), with higher likelihood of degrading water quality in upper (intermittent) stream reaches that may currently be unimpaired. Would also impair sensitive hydrological functions. E-2 is only alternative that impacts scrub-shrub wetlands, which are rare to non-existent elsewhere in the project area, and are high value to wildlife on Paradise Ridge.
- Permanent fill of 3.43 acres wetlands (3.23 PEM; 0.20 PSS)

Let me know if there is anything else I can do to assist. Juanita Lichthardt returned my call, but we have not yet spoken. If you have a particular question for her that you would like me to raise, let me know.

Thank you all so much!  
Elaine